CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL AUTHORITY MONTHLY MEETING

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES

EAST END COMPLEX AUDITORIUM

1500 CAPITOL AVENUE

SACRAMENTO, CA 95814

TUESDAY, MARCH 10, 2015

10:00 A.M.

Reported by: Kent Odell

APPEARANCES

BOARD MEMBERS

Dan Richard, Chairman

Tom Richards, Vice Chair

Jim Hartnett, Vice Chair

Thea Selby

Lynn Schenk

Lou Correa

Katherine Perez-Estolano

Patrick Henning

Michael Rossi

STAFF

Jeff Morales, Chief Executive Officer

Janice Neibel, Secretary

Michelle Boehm

Frank Vacca

Tom Fellenz

ALSO PRESENT

Kris Murray, Anaheim City Council

Paul Guerrero, La Raza

Diana LaCome, APAC

Robert Allen

APPEARANCES (CONT.)

ALSO PRESENT

Ross Browning, CCHSRA

Alan Scott, CCHSRA

Bill Descary

Maureen Fukuda

Millard Downing

Gloria Coelho

Alisa Gomez

Ernestine Mattos

Michael Whitlatch

Frank Oliveira, Citizens for California HSR Accountability

Keith Dunn, Capitol Dynamics

INDEX	PAGE
Roll Call	5
Public Comment	9
 Consider Approval of Board Meeting Minutes fr February 10, 2015 Board Meeting 	om 56
2. Presentation on Presentation on Grade Separat for the California High-Speed Rail Program	ions 60
3. Consider Awarding the Regional Consultant Contract for Environmental and Engineering Services on the Palmdale to Burbank Project Section	57
4. Closed Session Pertaining to Litigation	83
Adjourned	83

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	10:11 a.m.
3	PROCEEDINGS BEGIN AT 10:11 A.M.
4	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, MARCH 10, 2015
5	CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Good morning, everyone. This
6	meeting of the California High Speed Rail Authority will
7	come to order.
8	I'm going to ask the Secretary to call the roll,
9	but you'll hear a new name being called this morning,
10	because we've graced by virtue of appointment by the Pro
11	Tem of the State Senate with a new member of our Board
12	the Honorable Lou Correa from Orange County. And Senator
13	Correa, welcome.
14	I'll give him an opportunity to speak in a
15	moment, but will the Secretary call the roll, so we can
16	come to order?
17	MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Schenk?
18	BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Here.
19	MS. NEIBEL: Vice Chair Richards?
20	VICE CHAIR RICHARDS: Here.
21	MS. NEIBEL: Vice Chair Hartnett?
22	VICE CHAIR HARTNETT: Here.
23	MS. NEIBEL: Mr. Rossi?
24	BOARD MEMBER ROSSI: Here.
25	MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Perez-Estolano?

1 BOARD MEMBER PEREZ-ESTOLANO: Here. 2 MS. NEIBEL: Mr. Henning? 3 BOARD MEMBER HENNING: Here. MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Selby? 4 5 BOARD MEMBER SELBY: Here. MS. NEIBEL: Mr. Correa? 6 7 BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Here. MS. THOMMEN: Chairman Richard? 8 9 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Here. 10 There is no flag in this room, but our staff has 11 arranged for an image of the American flag to be projected. 12 So will you please join me in honoring America in the Pledge of Allegiance? 13 14 (The Pledge of Allegiance is made.) 15 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you. And I want to thank the staff for arranging that. 16 17 Before we do turn to our first item, which is 18 public comment I would like to welcome Senator Correa and 19 just say it's personally a delight and an honor to have him 20 join this Board. In 2012 when the High Speed Rail Authority was 21 2.2 embroiled in legislative proceedings to determine whether 23 or not the Legislature would approve the appropriation of the state bonds and federal dollars for this, Senator 24 25 Correa was a pivotal person. Not only supporting the

project in general, but also making sure that his community, Orange County, was going to receive the requisite benefits and recognition commensurate with its status at the end of the high-speed rail line.

2.2

So Senator, welcome. And I certainly would give you the opportunity to make any remarks that you'd like to at this point.

BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I want to say good morning to all of you and the public. And it's an honor to be here and work with this distinguished group of individuals.

Mr. Chairman, you mentioned that I was pivotal in some of the -- when I was in the Legislature -- in terms of policy for the high-speed rail in the State of California. I'd like to restate that as saying I was trying to be thoughtful in accordance with the wishes of my taxpayers and my constituents in Orange County, because any such project as we're contemplating here and executing here has to be one that begins by serving those that are greatest of needs. And that's why at each and every vote that I took in support of the high-speed rail, I always wanted to focus and made sure people focused on what I would call the book ends, because Orange County traditionally has been, frankly speaking, a stepchild so to speak in California politics and in California policy.

And all of us know that if you live in Anaheim/Orange County it takes you 40 minutes on a good day, maybe an hour and a half on a bad day, to get from Anaheim to L.A. and vice versa. That 5 Freeway is brutal. I know, I traveled it for about a decade when I was a banker in Downtown Los Angeles. And what better way to invest our taxpayer dollars than to make sure that that commute goes from maybe an hour and a half to 15, 20 minutes.

2.2

So that's what my idea is of investing into bookends, making sure that you bring the highest value as quickly as it can to the most constituents, most taxpayers that you can. And that is the philosophy that I will have as I move into this position. And I want to thank our Pro Tem, Mr. de León, for giving me the opportunity to serve on this Board. But that's the kind of philosophy that's going to drive me here, which is to make sure that we do right by the taxpayers and the voters of the State of California. And make sure that this project is brought on, on time, cost effectively.

But again, I want to make sure that when my children begin to travel and after work, Downtown Los Angeles, they can get on a train and be there in 15 minutes and not in an hour and a half like Daddy did for 10 years. Thank you, very much.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you so much, Senator, and again welcome.

2.2

We will now move to the public comment period and as is our practice, we will start with elected representatives from the public and then move through the speakers in the order in which their speaker requests were given to us.

So first, from the City of Anaheim, in the heart of Orange County, is Council Member and former Mayor Pro Tem, Kris Murray. Council Member, a delight to see you.

COUNCIL MEMBER MURRAY: Well thank you, Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board and staff. It's a pleasure to join you this morning.

I'd like to begin with a couple of thank yous.

I'd like to thank you, Mr. Chairman, and your CEO Jeff

Morales, and Board Member Perez-Estolano for joining us at
the opening of our brand-new intermodal center, the ARTIC

Station, which opened in December and for taking the time,
you sir, for being a part of the program. I think we had
about 1,000 individuals from the community and from across
the county and the region who joined us for the opening.

But ARTIC is the center piece of the LOSSAN

Corridor, the second busiest commuter rail corridor in the country today and growing, and the southern terminus of this incredibly important project to the State of

California. So thank you for taking that time and for joining us and being an important part of helping us get ARTIC up and running, because its connectivity to high-speed rail was an important part of its funding as well.

2.2

I also want to congratulate our Senator

Lou Correa, former senator, representing Anaheim and Orange

County. It's a pleasure to join you at your first Board

meeting. You've been a champion for this project for

Anaheim and for Orange County for such a long time, so many

years. And I won't date you by saying how many, but you

are a son if Anaheim, born in Anaheim, and have stayed as a

leader of our city for so very long and we're so thrilled

that you are representing the state and our city as a

member of this important and illustrious Board.

And then I just want to share, again, Anaheim's commitment to this project. It is an essential part of our long-term transportation planning for Orange County and regionally and also for the State of California. We, as a city, signed the MOU in support of this project.

We have enjoyed a very positive relationship working with the Authority since its inception. And I really appreciate how thoughtful and proactive your staff has been. Recently, Mr. Morales joined us for a presentation before the Anaheim/Orange County Convention Visitor's Bureau and took a lot of candid and open

questions, nothing scripted, he was just very engaging and really humanized the importance of this project and the importance of regional economic growth and transportation planning.

2.2

So just to conclude I just want to say thank you again. This project is absolutely essential. I also serve as a member of the Regional Council for the Southern California Association of Governments and a member of that transportation committee. We're gearing up for the next round of regional transportation planning and this project is an essential component of that long term for our region and the entire state, so thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you very much Council Member, thank you for --

BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Excuse me, Chair? If I may just make a couple of comments to Ms. Murray?

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yes, that --

BOARD MEMBER CORREA: I just wanted to add that I also want to thank the City of Anaheim for all your good work on the ARTIC Center Train Station. If any of you have a chance you ought to go out there when you go visit the Angels of Anaheim and take a moment to take the train and stop at the new center there that is designed for the high-speed rail. It's a tremendous job and thank you for being ahead of the curve on this one.

```
COUNCIL MEMBER MURRAY: Thank you.
 1
 2
              BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Welcome, ma'am.
 3
              COUNCIL MEMBER MURRAY:
                                      Thank you.
 4
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yeah, and I'd like to
 5
    associate myself with those remarks. It was an honor to be
    there that day, and of course, our newest member was there
 6
 7
    as well having been a long champion of ARTIC. It's a
    beautiful architectural structure and you should be very
 8
9
    proud. I know you are.
10
              COUNCIL MEMBER MURRAY:
                                       Thank you.
11
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you.
12
              COUNCIL MEMBER MURRAY: Have a wonderful day.
1.3
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: All right, moving -- I don't
14
    have any other elected officials that I see in the list, so
15
    we'll move through the speakers in the order in which their
16
    requests came in.
17
              So we'll start with Paul Guerrero followed by
18
    Diana LaCome and then Robert Allen.
19
              MR. GUERRERO: Good morning.
20
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Good morning.
21
              MR. GUERRERO: At the last council meeting, Mrs.
2.2
    Selby expressed concern on the small business
2.3
    participation. And then last week, at a meeting of the
24
    Small Business Advisory Council to the Department of
25
    General Services I was shocked when they listed the High-
```

Speed Rail as being one of the poor achievers. And I stood up and said, "Whoa, wait a minute, High Speed Rail is hitting 30 percent, what are you talking about?" They said, "Yes, they are hitting 30 percent, they're doing great. But they're not meeting the small business goal, which is 25 percent. They're at 22 percent."

2.2

And so I'm urging you to do something to get up there, so I won't have to come and defend you all the time at that council meeting, because I think you're doing great personally. But let's get 2 more percent (sic) on the small business and bring it up 25 percent.

And the second thing I wanted to talk about is there's a federal small business program under 49 CFR Part 26.39 and it allows you to set aside \$4 or \$5 million for only small businesses to bid. The catch is -- the kicker is that it's a federal certification and so anybody that's certified by Caltrans as a DBE falls under that federal certification and qualifies as a small business.

And BART has taken the leadership and is now certifying other businesses as a small business under that federal certification. And the difference between that and the state, there's two things. One is the size is larger, and the other it requires a site visit, which it costs a little bit of money, so nobody wants to put it in place. But if you could piggyback on BART -- BART has taken the

leadership -- if you could piggyback on BART you could use what they have certified right now. Maybe you could help them certify, giving them a little bit of bucks to go out and do that site visit, and let them take the lead. And adopt the Caltrans certification, because all the DBEs that are certified by Caltrans fall under that federal small business certification. And you could do set-asides of \$4 and \$5 million for small businesses, which I think would be great. And so I'd really appreciate it if you'd look at that or have staff look at that. And I'll be glad to work with them on it, because I've got all the paperwork on it. Thank you. CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you. Our staff is always delighted to follow BART's lead on these matters. MS. LACOME: Good morning. CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Good morning. MS. LACOME: Chairman Richard and Board Members, I'm Diana LaCome representing APAC. I have brought to this Board various issues of concern, primarily barriers to small business. And at last month's meeting I mentioned that I would be bringing you copies of some of the information that I've been discussing with you, so the first one is a copy of the California

Dispute Resolution Act. If you recall I mentioned that we

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

really do need a resolution, a Small Business Resolution

Board. There's one for the large contractors, but not for small business.

2.2

The second one is a definition of "indemnity insurance." Insurance is one of the biggest obstacles for small businesses. The indemnity clause that you have there is just the tip of the iceberg. I've given Jon Tapping, your staff person, Risk Manager, the full volume of paperwork that I have with that. So he's assured me that he'll review the information and maybe come up with some recommendations on the insurance aspect.

The third one is the 49 CFR Part 23.39 that Paul Guerrero just mentioned, fostering small business, so I've included that in there. And according to your staff you have done set-asides for small business, they're just not called that okay? A good example of that is the right-of-ways. There are so many right-of-way contracts that were actually awarded to small business, but it did not have the overall, you know, fostering small business set-aside label to it, if you will. So I would just like to encourage that in the future just label them, that will make us all very happy.

So and the last one is I've given you a copy of the Caltrans letter to Senator DeSaulnier. And I've only given you a copy of his letter -- of Malcolm Dougherty's

```
1
    letter to DeSaulnier. But I mentioned to this Board before
 2
    that it would be very wise to review this "Lessons Learned
 3
    on the Bay Bridge." I didn't quite have time to make a
    full copy of this "Lessons Learned" or of the final reports
 4
    on the Bay Bridge. But in the letter that you have it
 5
    states that this would be a very good idea to include -- to
 6
 7
    actually discuss this with other state agencies that have
    megaprojects. So I would encourage you to do that as well.
 8
9
    Thank you.
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you for all this
10
11
    material, Ms. LaCome. We appreciate it and we will take
12
    all of this under full consideration. Thank you.
13
              Next is Robert Allen, he'll be followed by Ross
14
    Browning and then Alan Scott.
15
              Mr. Allen, I know you put in two speaker
16
    requests, but --
17
                          I'm sorry?
              MR. ALLEN:
18
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Go ahead.
19
              MR. ALLEN: I would like to thank the Authority
20
    and the staff for bringing the issue of grade separations
21
    before to your attention as an agenda item. I think it's
2.2
    great.
2.3
              Grade separations and fencing are two things that
    are so necessary for high-speed rail to be successful. And
24
25
    I want to thank you for doing that.
```

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Mr. Allen.

2.2

Mr. Browning, good morning. Followed by Alan Scott and then Ted Hart.

MR. BROWNING: A cheery good morning to you, Mr. Chairman, and ladies and gentlemen of the Board.

A little background on this -- I had a beautiful talk all prepared for you people and one of your staff came up and took some wind out of my sails this morning. But so I'm going to go ahead and give you the abbreviated version and tell you that I want to thank Diane Gomez for getting a hold of me.

The situation is this, there is a homeowner, a farmer, down in Kings County that the rail is going -- and they say between 150 and 200 feet from his house. Now, they ought to be able to measure closer than that. I mean, I could measure closer than that, but we'll say 150 to 200. And they have decided that his home is considered to uninhabitable due to vibration and noise.

And I got to thinking, well the person in the house next to him, which is probably a little bit less than 300 feet away, how does it affect their house? What's going on there? And that's what I asked Diane to find out and she gave me a little briefing on that today. But also Diane, it doesn't square with something else I've been told. I'm not meaning to blindside you, but -- and then

```
1
    there's another one that's a little over 400 feet, almost
 2
    500 feet.
 3
              Well, I was at a meeting with -- let's see, we
    had Julie Wood who's on the right-of-way delivery team and
 4
 5
    James Staudinger who's the right-of-way delivery team
    talking about this very issue. And Jim Staudinger said,
 6
 7
    "Interesting that that should come up, because we have just
    hired -- the Authority has just hired a person who will be
 8
9
    in charge of items like that to develop a policy." I said,
10
    "What do you mean? You don't have a policy already?"
11
    he says, "Evidently not, because this gentleman, Mark
12
    Rowan, is going to be Deputy Director of Real Property and
13
    will be developing a policy on how close or how far from
    the rail does a person's residence have to be before
14
15
    they're considered uninhabitable or what can we do about
16
    it."
17
              So that's what I wanted to mention to you.
18
    kind of surprised that there isn't a policy already. And I
19
    quess there isn't one yet, because if there had been Diane
20
    would've told me about it.
21
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Well, thank you, Mr. Browning.
22
    I appreciate you bringing that to our attention. We will
23
    follow up with the staff on that, obviously.
24
              MR. BROWNING: I've lost my visitor's (inaudible)
25
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yeah, thank you.
```

MR. BROWNING: Thank you. 1 2 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Mr. Scott, good morning. 3 MR. SCOTT: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, morning to the Board. Thank you very much for your time; 4 5 Alan Scott, Kings County. I guess I shouldn't be reading. I saw a headline 6 7 a couple of weeks ago -- or less than a week -- a couple of weeks ago, about the contractor is now considering filing a 8 9 claim for delay of services, which could be anywhere from 10 \$100 to \$150 to probably \$200 million, because the contract 11 was not started within the timeframe of one year. That's 12 kind of disturbing to me as a taxpayer, because that's money that I don't think has been factored in, in the 13 14 budget of the 68 billion. So I'm concerned about that. 15 I'm also concerned about the cap and trade. I believe it's somewhat questionable. It's in the Third 16 17 District Court right now for review. 18 But on another matter, February 10th was the 19 meeting of the High Speed Rail. As of this morning, since 20 my wife woke me up early this morning for something else, I 21 had time to go check the computer. The video from the 2.2 meeting on February 10th was not there. However, I decided 23 to email the Authority back on February 26th, "Could someone show me where the links are?" 24

First off, I didn't realize the links of the

25

previous meetings are buried within the agenda of the previous meetings. That's kind of difficult, because if you say to go to archives then you have to go to the Board meeting, which brings you right back to the Board meetings. And then you have to go down and look in there and find it. It's usually three or four down, I checked about four or five months back, however as of this morning it's not there.

2.2

So I was told in a follow-up email when I was questioned -- I was told this. "The video for February's Board meeting has not been posted. The video usually takes between three and four weeks to be edited for sound and visual clarity and then put into a format that we can upload." I have a problem with the operative word called "editing." I understand the audio and I understand the video. I don't understand what editing means beyond that. Does it mean that the tape is being changed or edited? I don't know, I'm just saying this was very disconcerting.

However, someone else called up and they were told a different story. They were told that you were changing vendors. I have a lot more to go over, but I'm sure I'm not going to be able to do it. The bottom line is that it just seems this life support thing -- every time we come into a meeting there's something going on. This is public record. I want to know why a public record is not

```
1
    available and why we're getting words like "editing." And
 2
    I'm concerned that something -- and I'm going to use it,
 3
    but perception means what? And is this nefarious?
    something underhanded or anything else like that?
 4
              So Mr. Chairman, I think you understand where our
 5
    concerns are, but not only I, but other people were looking
 6
 7
    for that video to review some things that were said during
    that video.
 8
 9
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay, Mr. Scott. I understand
    the issue. I'll work with the staff; we'll communicate
10
11
    back with you. I am inclined not to believe that anything
12
    nefarious is going on, but I --
1.3
              MR. SCOTT: I am also saying that, but you
14
    understand --
15
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: I understand your focusing on
16
    that word. It's not inappropriate for you to raise that,
17
    so thank you very much, sir.
18
              MR. SCOTT: Okay.
19
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Appreciate it.
20
              Mr. Hart followed by Bob (sic) Descary from
    Bakersfield and then Maureen Fukuda.
21
2.2
              MR. HART: Good morning.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Good morning.
              MR. HART: In the last five years I've addressed
24
25
    the Board about a dozen times trying to get you to commit
```

to a cost for the entire high-speed rail system, 800 miles, no luck. And that's gone on for that period of time.

2.2

In 2008, Prop 1A, the voter guide was very clear in describing the connections and the pathway as far as the rail was going: San Francisco, Sacramento, Central Valley, Los Angeles, Orange County, San Bernardino, Riverside and San Diego. I want to call particular attention to Sacramento and San Diego and I'll return to that.

Within the Prop 1A, the voter guide, the Authority estimated in 2006 to construct the entire high-speed system would be about \$45 billion. Since that time, the last time the Authority provided a cost estimate for the entire 800-mile statewide system, that's the last time we've ever seen a number.

Now, you continue to sit on this \$68 billion cost for the statewide system and this makes me wonder. Your 2014 Business Plan in its appendix section that it is in compliance of a statutory requirement, PUC Code Section 185033(b)(1)(A). That it include, "The proposed chronology for construction of the statewide high-speed rail system, and the estimated costs for each segment or combination of segments." However, your plan omits a proposed chronology for construction and estimated capital costs for the segments connecting Sacramento and San Diego.

Therefore, if you're in compliance with the

```
1
    statute then the segments connecting to Sacramento and San
 2
    Diego are no longer part of the statewide high-speed rail
 3
    system. I respectfully ask, is this the case or was your
    plan not in compliance with the statute cited? Thank you
 4
    for your time, I've submitted this in writing.
 5
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Mr. Hart.
 6
 7
    respond to that.
              Bill Descary followed by Maureen Fukuda followed
 8
9
    by Millard Downing.
10
              MR. DESCARY: I'm Bill Descary (pronounces it
11
    Decary), Mr. Chairman and Board Members --
12
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Excuse me, sir. I'm so sorry
13
    that I mispronounced your name, I apologize for that.
14
    was just my poor eyes.
15
              MR. DESCARY: No, it's common.
16
              I'm here as a concerned Bakersfield resident.
17
    have no property in the alignment. It is shameful, yes
18
    shameful, the way the High Speed Rail Authority is abusing
19
    eminent domain law and steamrolling over Central Valley
20
    landowners. People's lives and livelihoods are being
21
    destroyed.
2.2
              I want to follow up on two items from the last
23
    Board meeting and conclude with a comment. First, a public
    speaker spoke of a silent majority in Bakersfield
24
25
    supporting the project, stating they just aren't vocal, but
```

they're really behind it. I talk with a lot of people in Bakersfield who vehemently oppose the project and aren't silent judging by letters to the editor. They ask when this way underfunded, poorly planned project will finally stop.

2.2

2.3

Second, the Authority commented it was pleased with the cooperation of the City of Bakersfield. For three-and-a-half years the Authority ignored the city by being nonresponsive to a host of significant mitigation questions. It took Bakersfield filing a lawsuit to get the Authority's attention. The case was recently settled when the Authority changed its Bakersfield alignment. This new alignment avoids much of the destruction and hopefully eliminates the unsightly 90-foot elevation through much of the city.

On a financial note, without the required second financing plan the Authority does not have access to state bond money, which means it really only has about \$3 billion, not the \$6 billion it continually boasts. In this year's budget the Authority did get 250 million cap and trade funds and 25 percent of future cap and trade revenue, but this is uncertain funding as it's being challenged in court.

Concluding, in all of this I feel especially sorry for Fresno residents. Their city will be torn up for

an estimated five years during construction and at the end there'll be no high-speed rail rides. Fresno's mayor talks about people in Fresno taking high-speed rail to work in Silicon Valley. Someone needs to tell her construction is going south from Fresno away from Silicon Valley. In fact, after constructing Madera to north of Bakersfield the Authority is looking at abandoning the Central Valley to construct a Palmdale to Burbank section, which is item three on today's agenda. The Central Valley will be left with a burn known as Jerry Brown's folly. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, sir. Maureen

1.3

2.2

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, sir. Maureen Fukuda then Millard Downing and then Gloria Coelho.

Ms. Fukuda, nice to see you again.

MS. FUKUDA: Good morning. My name is Maureen Fukuda and I live in Kings County. And I'm presenting a few items on the non-agenda item.

Number one, I'd like to remind Mr. Richard, when we first met you said that the Authority serves at the public's will. You're a public service. And it was reiterated earlier this morning. And if you are serving the needs of the people at what expense? I think the Authority is not aware of the expense being paid by the people who have to be impacted or mitigated or moved by the rail coming through. You know, that's number one public concern.

```
Number two, all is not well in paradise.
 1
 2
    will hear people today from Kings County that have real
    problems. It's not fabricated. They're real problems.
 3
    They live with this 24/7. I know these people. I feel for
 4
    these people and somehow it has to be resolved and it isn't
 5
    the dollar sign that solves the problem.
 6
 7
              The other thing, Mr. Richard, between you and me,
    Aaron got a house.
 8
9
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Good.
10
              MS. FUKUDA: Thank you.
11
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Now you can be a grandmother.
12
              MS. FUKUDA: No, I --
13
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: No, no, no. Ms. Fukuda told
14
    me that we needed to take care of her son, so that they
15
    would start a family. So that's what I meant, I wasn't
16
    trying to be --
17
              MS. FUKUDA: He never listens to his mother
    anyway. And Friday's my birthday, so my birthday wish is
18
19
    that something can be resolved for my friends in Kings
20
    County and everywhere, everywhere. Thank you, very much.
21
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you.
2.2
              Miller Downing, followed by Gloria Coelho and
2.3
    then Alisa Gomez.
24
              MR. DOWNING: I'm Millard Downing from Hanford
25
    and I live on the Ponderosa Street that's being taken.
```

talk today, a little bit, is probably derogatory and in part of it recommending to you.

2.2

The appraisal in the property acquisition phase of it is the derogatory, I'd say. I don't know who chooses the sources that you use, but it could have been better.

The appraisal team from my area is from Houston, Texas and then property people that work with you are from Tulsa, Oklahoma. The neighbor a couple of doors down from me apparently asked, "How's the appraising going on your street?" And he says, "Pretty good." And he says, "Well, you appraised the two-story house," which is mine, "down the street?" And he says, "No, I haven't. The gentleman kicked me off the property." I never saw the person. I never kicked anybody off the property. Nobody came and rang my doorbell or anything, totally nobody.

And my wife has been ill and I've been attending to her and taking her to Samsung Clinic and things like that down in Santa Barbara, been gone a lot. So apparently they came and made an appraisal when I was not there and did it over the neighbor's fences on both sides of the house for the photos and everything that's right on the sheets.

So that's not very good in that aspect. The property location individual indicated, in a letter, that he found some property. One was at Ahwahnee, which is on

the way into Yosemite. That's 83 miles from my home. And the other one is an Auberry address, which is at the top of the four-lane going into Shaver Lake, which is 60-something miles from my home. And another one in Sanger, which is about 33 miles from my house.

2.2

2.3

And, you know, I'm a Hanford resident. I've been there for close to 50 years and I don't intend to go anywhere else, so this is not giving me anything to work with at all. So something needs to be done to assist in this process. It's not a helping you guys move on the train. It's not putting itself any faster. And that's pretty much what I've got to say.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Well, thank you sir, for coming up here this morning. I'm going to ask Mr. Morales and Ms. Gomez to pay particular attention the situation. Thank you.

MS. Coelho, good morning.

MS. COELHO: Hi, my name is Gloria Coelho and I'm from Kings County. And in early November I had an appraiser out and we talked about what was going to be affected. And he said that I would get an offer in the spring.

I was gone for the holidays and came back after New Year's to find two phone calls and a box that my neighbor picked up at the door. And this was my offer.

Well I wasn't prepared for this, because I was told it would be spring so I took -- on the second business day after I returned I called the right-of-way agent and I told him that I wasn't prepared. I was seeking a lawyer and I would get back to him about when my appointment was. Well, he wasn't happy when it took awhile to get my appointment, but like I told him there's not that many lawyers in Hanford. And there's a lot of people involved in this, it would take awhile. So then I got back to him about what time I was meeting with the lawyer.

2.2

And then my lawyer suggested we get it reappraised. And that's where I'm at now, is getting it reappraised.

This right-of-way agent told me he was going to call me every week to see what my progress was. I've never denied a phone call from him. I've told him exactly what's going on and I told him, I said, "You know, it's at the point now it's out of my hands. I'm waiting for my appraisal. There's nothing else I can do. I don't know why you keep calling me." So I just told him -- I suggested to him to call my lawyer.

It's kind of hard to want to sell my property when only 15 percent of the construction plan's done. I haven't really got a full answer about how I can run my pipeline through it or what's going to be done to salvage

what they want. If they want me to realign more than what 1 2 they already have to realign, it's going to be more costly. 3 I'm trying to get some prices on that, but I really don't think that's my job. I think that's theirs. 4 I really 5 wouldn't want to pay a house contractor when he only has 15 percent of the construction plan done. 6 7 So I think you can understand why I'm a little hesitant too. Thank you. 8 9 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you. 10 Alisa Gomez followed by Ernestine Mattos. 11 MS. GOMEZ: Good morning, my name is Alisa Gomez. 12 I'm from Corcoran. 1.3 I just wanted to let you know a little bit about 14 how my appraisal process went down. We've heard that 15 you're hearing that things are going well and in my case, and in a lot of other people's case, that's not what's 16 17 happening. 18 I received a letter dated October 14th, so it 19 came out a few days after that. And within a week I had 20 someone walking up and down our road. They stopped my 21 husband while he was on his lunch wanting to appraise the

property. And he said he had to get back to work. 23 asked what time he got off work and when we came home from 24 work the two appraisers were there and did the appraisal 25 while our four kids were running around the house.

2.2

They were asking -- or I was told that it would take at least six months to get the appraisal back. They had asked me what I had spent on this and that, because I've done a lot of improvements and I told him I would get back to him. I am a high school ag teacher and I have four kids, so the first time I had to get to that was winter break. I went through some of the receipts and on February 2nd I emailed back the appraiser and gave him the information as well as some questions that I had. To this day I have not heard back from him.

And then I started getting some text messages from my ex-husband who I've been divorced from, for ten years. I bought my house five years ago. He has nothing to do with this house, but the High Speed Rail was contacting him telling him they are trying to get a hold of me about my appraisal. I don't know why they contacted him. I don't know how they got in contact with him, but now he's sending me pictures of us together and he will not let it go. So you can imagine how that is with my current husband.

Then on February 20th two boxes from FedEx were thrown over my fence. Not a signature, not anything, just thrown over the fence. I opened it up to find an appraisal that was a smack in the face. We hear the comment, "We will make it whole," and it was absolutely not that at all.

I know that's time, but now I have to hire a lawyer. I took a day off of work today. It's time and money that is a huge inconvenience for me. So when you say things are going great I think you need to take another look, because I don't know one person in our area that has had an appraisal that has not had a problem with it. 7 Please consider making us whole like you said you will. CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you very much, Ms. Gomez. Ernestine Mattos? MS. MATTOS: Hi, my name is Ernestine Mattos. Me 13 and my husband have a dairy farm out in Kings County. 14 I got a call from David at Bender Rosenthal wanting to come out and he told me, "To see the damages that were going to occur on our dairy farm." And I told him I'd just got back from an unexpected family death and funeral and could not, so give me a few days, call me back. 19 He did. On the 13th he called me and I told him, "Okay, let's set up an appointment, but I have a situation going. My son has dropped 20 pounds and we don't know why. 2.2 right now I will set up the appointment, but more than 23 likely I may have to cancel." He said, "Okay." So I called him on the 17th of November to inform 25 him that my son had to -- on the 18th of our appointment --

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

20

21

24

had to register at the hospital, because he had to do a biopsy. David from Bender & Rosenthal, what he told me -- and I was short from telling him off, but I'm trying to work with everybody -- he informed me he had a job to do.

If I was not going to work with him what he was going to do -- what he wanted to do at that point was to do a roadside assessment. I said, "Please do not do that. I'm not saying I won't meet with you, but right now my son has serious health issues."

So I waited a few days, because my husband said,
"You need to calm down, so that way you don't say anything
wrong or do anything." So I emailed him and Don Grebe and
informed them how I was disappointed in his attitude. I
told him -- he told me he had a job to do. And I said,
"Yes, you do. But me as a mother, I have a job to do for
my son." I said, "My son has dropped 30 pounds and nobody
can give me answers and I'm having to go through a
process."

Me and my husband have strived so hard to have what we have and what you guys are going to do and how you're going to make us whole, I don't understand. You are going to rip us in half and we have animals, dairy cattle out there, and if you've ever walked down dairy cattle — if you've ever been on a dairy farm there is no way a train can go flying by without those animals going crazy.

They're going to run. They end up killing themselves,

because they run sporadically without knowing where they're

going and they end up hitting fences and everything.

2.2

You are taking out -- you may not understand some of this technology and you need to send people who are ag appraised to understand what we're going through. You are going to take a separator. You're going to take an existing lagoon. You're taking out a corral. I mean, I have no future at this point and this is so frustrating. We have worked so hard.

And one thing my son says -- and what's bad for him is stress and he sees how we stress to make him whole again and try to keep our business going.

So please, be careful who you send out, because right now I find people are heartless, they really are. I mean, we know we have our personal life going on, but I feel like you guys are just bulldozing through this without consideration of anything. I don't know how you're going to make us whole. I don't and this is something we've worked for over 20 years, me and my husband. Thank you for listening.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Ms. Mattos.

Our next speaker -- I'm sorry, I'm having a little trouble reading his last name. It may be Michael Whitlock from Newark Avenue in Corcoran. And sir,

1 if I mispronounced your name I apologize. 2 MR. WHITLATCH: Good morning. I'm 5561 Newark, Whitlatch. 3 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Whitlatch? 4 5 MR. WHITLATCH: Whitlatch, yes. 6 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Good morning. 7 MR. WHITLATCH: Everybody gets it messed up. experience with you folks, I had a good appraiser, but my 8 9 problem is when the appraiser -- all my people were getting 10 letters around us we never heard from the appraiser. So I 11 had to call the appraiser. The appraiser gave me a date 12 and unfortunately I had a friend pass away, went to the funeral, never heard back from the appraiser again. 13 14 Finally, the appraiser said, "We'll set up a date." 15 Well, in the meantime Alyssa and a lot of other 16 people in our town had people come and they had four or 17 five people on a team coming. I had an appraiser come to 18 my house. I've had no one else ever come to my house. 19 And I'm thinking about everybody else here. 20 Excuse me for being nervous here, but everybody's unique in 21 our situation. I know high-speed rail, hopefully doesn't 2.2 come, but I know it will come. My house is unique like 23 everybody else's is. My house is unique, my wife is dying of Parkinson's. Excuse me. Ten years in planning, it was 24 25 built around her, and now you guys are going to take it.

What's part of life, but in compensation our house is unique and built to her.

1.3

2.2

2.3

And just I haven't had my appraisal sent back to me yet. A lot of people are getting low-balled and Corcoran, there's no more places for two-and-a-half acres to live on a unique piece like Alyssa's. And we're going to have to move to another town. We're going to move — make a decision to move quite a ways away, because of my wife's situation. And I just ask for you guys to recompensate us, make us whole.

This is my -- excuse me -- this is my wife's dream house. We will not be able to rebuild it, so thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, sir.

Frank Oliveira followed by Keith Dunn.

MR. OLIVEIRA: Good morning.

17 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Good morning.

MR. OLIVEIRA: I'm Frank Oliveira representing Citizens for California High Speed Rail Accountability.

This whole thing that we're on is really a journey, high-speed rail is a journey. That's what the train is all about. We start somewhere and we end up somewhere else and the train's about what happens in between. At the end of the day people will move faster through California if this train is ever constructed.

Today, I was pleased to hear in the Finance

Committee meeting today the importance of foundation and

good work to comply with -- to do things right and set up a

good foundation, because if you don't okay there's going to

be going organizational problems. That information today

was absolutely correct.

2.2

Numerous times I have come to this Board and said there are problems in the foundation. This is what I'm talking about, the journey. With problems in your foundation you will have problems like what you're hearing today. May 5th, 2011 which I keep bringing up, because it was pivotal, information was presented to the Board and accepted to the Board that was simply false. That started the problem.

Over time it was denied. Then it was investigated. Then it was acknowledged. Then there was a promise of cooperation, which failed. Okay, we involved ourselves in a 15 percent EIR. We expressed problems with what we saw, we asked for mitigation. Our mitigation that we requested was denied, but was told in most cases it'd be handled -- in the other 85 percent -- by the design build contractor to solve our problems.

We were promised we would be made whole. We were promised by you, Mr. Richard, promised many times. What we've received is disrespect, about a two-third value on

properties that are being appraised. That's not being made whole and that's not being treated with respect. We were promised last month that you would look at things, because we brought problems forward. But nothing much has changed as you can hear that today.

I was going through my appraisal package and I thought it was interesting, there's an article about "You and Your Property Rights," it's a pamphlet. And there's a notice in there that says if you don't believe that your property values are correct on what you're remaining with -- which is the biggest case in our area, because you're cutting farms in half, so there's remainders -- that we can submit a Board of Control Claim Form from Victim Services. We are your victims, all the way down to the brochure in the group that we're supposed to contact if we disagree with what you're doing to our remaining property values.

Not much has changed. We ask you to uphold your promise, make us whole. Mitigate what needs to be done to our community instead of tearing it up. Make us whole.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Mr. Oliveira.

Keith Dunn?

2.2

2.3

MR. DUNN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Board Members.

I first want to also extend a warm invitation to your newest member, Senator Correa, who is a good friend of

mine and known for being able to do heavy lifting. I have personal experience on that, we used to get to work out together in the mornings when he was still serving here in Sacramento. So welcome to the Board, he's a great addition and a very thoughtful individual.

2.2

2.3

I wanted to just share I'm here on behalf of the Association for California High Speed Trains. We get to hear a lot about -- and I don't want to take away from the stories that you've heard -- but I also want to share with you some experiences that I've had in traveling up and down the state, most recently in the Antelope Valley, about the good work that your staff is doing. They're working with that community, Mayor Ledford and his team there, and also throughout the Central Valley.

There are numerous individuals, and I fully understand and am sympathetic with the folks that have spoken here today and hope that their concerns, which need to be addressed will be addressed. I'm confident that they will, but there are also the untold stories of the individuals who've had positive experiences that show up to our meetings and work with us. And I think it's important for you to hear that.

I can't get them all here today, but we do go up and down the Valley and Antelope Valley and L.A. and Bay Area as well, and meet with people who've had positive

experience, who feel that the outreach has been what they've expected and look forward to continue to work with you to make sure that this project is built right. Because it's true as Mr. Oliveira said, it needs to have a good foundation. And that means that you're going to have folks who need to have addressed their concerns and we need to do that.

1.3

2.2

But I think you're doing a great job providing them this forum and then your staff also following up with them in the communities to make sure that they do get addressed. So I want to thank you for that and welcome, Senator Correa, to the Board. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Mr. Dunn.

That does complete the public comment session.

Before we turn to the regular agenda though, I think that there are a number of issues that have been raised this morning from individuals who've been impacted by the appraisal process. And they've come a long way, taken time off work and so forth, to bring this information to us. So I think out of respect to those individuals and the issues they raise, without trying to put the staff on the spot to respond to every single specific situation. Because I'm sure you don't have all the facts in front you, but I'd just ask our CEO, Mr. Morale, if he could make some comments on this at this point.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MORALES: Certainly, Mr. Chairman. And I'll ask Diana to jump in and talk a little bit about some of the things we're doing in the Valley on these issues in a moment.

2.2

But let me just say I do want to assure you, assure the public, assure those of you who came today, we take these issues very seriously. We don't take lightly the acquisition of anyone's property whether it's -- even if the process goes well that's a big even in anyone's life and we appreciate that. And certainly if things don't go well that only complicates it and I will assure you, we will look into each of the specific issues raised here. I don't know that we can comment or frankly probably should comment on individual circumstances in this setting, but we will absolutely look into issues and if there have been anything done wrong we will address it.

We have -- recently we pulled all of those involved in the right-of-way process, all of the consultants, all the staff together, for -- in a sense, a stand-down to make sure to raise issues, let everyone know about circumstances, some of the ones that we heard about today, find out if in fact it happened why. And that we won't tolerate those going forward and that things need to be done differently if, in fact, those things were happening. And we will continue to provide training in

that direction to our staff in doing that.

1.3

2.2

2.3

We've also put -- and the reason I wanted to have Diana say something is we've put Diana really on the front lines in dealing with the property owners to make sure that we are looking at this from the community aspect. And so again, I'll just say we will look into the particulars. We will continue to do our best to make sure that we're conducting this process as smoothly, as cooperatively as possible.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. Before I turn to Board members should we hear from -- Senator, I think Ms. Schenk was first of the Board members and then I'll turn to you.

BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: I'll wait until Diana goes.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. And for

Senator Correa's benefit, Diana Gomez is our regional manager. She leads the efforts for the high-speed rail development in the Central Valley.

Ms. Gomez, good morning.

MS. GOMEZ: Good morning. I wanted to just provide an update of what we've been doing since we started hearing many of the concerns. So we've had two all hands-on meetings since in the month of February to talk about some of the issues, some of the concerns that we've been hearing, talk about the right-of-way process, talk about

the customer service, talk about some of the group meetings, talked about increased outreach, status meetings, surveying. So we talked a lot about -- primarily about the process and how we could start addressing some of the concerns.

2.2

The other thing that's been happening is I've been out there meeting with a lot of property owners who have requested the meetings. And when we do meet with them we take the entire team out there. In some cases we take out the right-of-way consultant team with us. We take out also some of the right-of-way staff that is up here in Sacramento. And we talk about their issues and address some of their concerns. And in some cases then we make changes to the process whether it's waiting on proceeding with the ROM (phonetic) process or redoing an appraisal or making sure everything is addressed in the appraisal. So we have been doing quite a bit of those, so I've been out there.

We did send a letter to everybody in Construction Package 2-3 asking them that if they did have concerns that they could contact me directly. We gave them a phone number where to call. And then if they did contact us then we would go ahead and schedule a meeting with that property owner and bring out the team and address the concerns right there. And then come up with a process moving forward.

Some of the other things that we've streamlined the process is staking, so that was a big issue is some of the property owners wanted their property staked before they talked to an appraiser. So we are doing that. made that a -- simplified that process, so they can have it staked within several weeks, schedule the meeting with the appraiser and then the process will start. So that --CHAIRMAN RICHARD: I'm sorry, is that to make sure that everybody is looking at the exact same property alignments? MS. GOMEZ: Well, in some cases farmers wanted to know well how many rows of trees would it take? CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Oh, I see. Okay. MS. GOMEZ: So it just gives them a picture of how much property they're going to be losing. And then this also would determine, in a sense, where their turning rows would be in terms of access. A lot of the issues have been about access, some of those landlocked parcels, and so we've been working with in some cases the county. Some of the other things that we have been doing is where property owners are asking us to eliminate crossings or be able to have access to other certain parts of county roads, we've been going back to the counties.

Specifically, Fresno County, Tulare County and determining

what are the potential changes we can make within our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

design to minimize those damages. So those are some of the things we have been doing.

2.2

We've also just recently added staff in the Fresno office. We now have a supervising right-of-way agent. On April 1st we will have another person start. And it is our goal to make sure that there is an Authority staff person that goes to the appraisal meeting or to the first written offer. So that's the commitment that we're going to be making, to at least have an Authority person present to make sure that they're hearing the concerns and that those are addressed.

The other thing I'm doing is I'm participating in every status meeting with each right-of-way consultant where we're going property by property, so I could hear the concerns that they're hearing from the property owner. And then be able to help facilitate some of those discussions as well. So we started our first status meeting last week and they're about four hours long, so we'll be doing this every other week where I will be meeting with the right-of-way consultants.

We are also shifting tasks between right-of-way consultants to make sure that we have the right people going to the right properties.

We continue to work with the Fresno EDC as part of the contracts that we have with the city and the county,

so they can help facilitate some of those discussions. So they've been participating in those meetings within Fresno County to also again, help facilitate that discussion with the property owners.

2.2

We have developed a survey that we are going to be utilizing as well to be able to get feedback about the consultants that work from us, so I'm trying to get finalized.

One of the things that we have been talking about was potentially setting up a pre-meeting with property owners, so they can then, before the process starts -- so they can meet the team and we can lay out the process as this is what's going to happen in the next couple of months. This is who your appraiser is. This is who your acquisition agent is. This is who your relocation agent is. So they get all of this information up front before we start the process.

So those are some of the things that we are doing, but I have been meeting with a lot of property owners and helping with that process. And I'll continue to meet with the property owners and I will reach out to the individuals that were here and schedule a meeting, so we can help resolve some of those issues.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you very much, Ms. Gomez.

Board Members, Ms. Schenk did you have questions?

BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Thank you, not questions so much as comments.

2.2

2.3

2.4

First of all, I'm pleased to hear that Diana has been put in charge. It gives me some hope. It's no surprise to my colleagues, except for the Senator who hasn't been here before and the public, that this is an issue of great concern to me. We're dealing with people's lives. I'm a huge supporter of high-speed rail and I think we all agree that it has to be built for the future, for future generations. But along the way we have to think about the people in this generation and how we are impacting them.

And I have no doubt whatsoever that from Jeff
Morales to Diana to the staff wants to do this right. But
I've lived long enough and have been involved with enough
issues that when a person has "a job to do" in the field
that it can be done in a heartless way. It can be done in
a emotionally distressing way. We're talking about
people's homes, their lives, their livelihood. And well,
as a lawyer I know that there are two and sometimes three
and four sides to a story. When there is enough of this
coming about to us that there's something there.

And so Diana, I appreciate that on top of everything else that you have to do, that you're taking

```
this on, because I know you have the right heart for this.
 1
 2
    But I would like to -- and Mr. Chairman -- like to ask
    Diana to provide us on a regular basis with a report of not
 3
    just the good, but the bad and the ugly. And there are
 4
    people out there who we know -- I mean, it's just who are
 5
    not doing it the right way. And we won't tolerate it.
 6
 7
    None of us will tolerate that and action has to be taken.
              And the only way we know is when members of the
 8
9
    public come, whether they're orchestrated or not, that they
    come with their stories and they come and tell us. And you
10
11
    investigate and then report back to us. That's the only
12
    way we're going to know what is going on and what action to
1.3
    take. So thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Ms. Schenk.
15
              Senator Correa, did you have comments or
16
    questions?
17
              BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Yes, I do Mr. Chairman,
18
    thank you very much.
19
              And Ms. Schenk, I can agree with the comments
    that you've made.
20
21
              And Ms. Gomez, I just want to say that I'm glad
2.2
    that you're on board. I listened very carefully to your
23
    comments, your efforts, and I'm glad to hear you've hired
24
    another person on board to help you.
25
              As Ms. Lynn Schenk, I'm also an attorney and I
```

know there's many stories on an issue. Yet I believe the only story that counts here is that a person's home is really their castle. And we have to focus on these folks. We can't focus on 99 percent acceptance rates, but rather 100 percent.

2.2

Instead of spending your effort on surveys of consultants let's go out and meet with these folks individually, call them, be proactive and talk to them.

You've got consultants that have a job to do, but they're not doing their job in my opinion, if they're running over people, okay? You've got to give them the attention and you've got to address them, because these folks -- again this project is something that benefits all Californians.

It's going to benefit all Californians including these home owners. So let's go back and redouble our efforts and make sure that we address the concerns of these individuals.

And as Ms. Schenk says, I want to hear also not only the positives, but the negative stories. I want to hear what's going on with these folks. Thank you, very much.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Senator.

I didn't see who went first, but I'm just going to come down the line. Mr. Rossi?

BOARD MEMBER ROSSI: Thank you. I clearly would echo what's been said, but I have two specific questions

1 that I'd like to have answered. And you don't have to answer them now, but I'm going to certainly want to have 2 3 them answered by the next Finance and Audit Committee. 4 Which is how do we decide on comps and in fact, do we have 5 a preponderance of appraisers whose experience is in some 6 other state. I'd like to know the answers to those two 7 questions. And Diana, you don't have to answer it now, 8 9 because I know it's just out of the blue. But I would like 10 to know by the next Finance and Audit Committee. 11 MS. GOMEZ: Okay. We will come with a prepared 12 answer for that. 1.3 BOARD MEMBER ROSSI: Thank you, very much. 14 CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Ms. Perez-Estolano? 15 BOARD MEMBER PEREZ-ESTOLANO: Thank you. 16 the comments from my colleagues and I just want to say 17 thank you to the folks who came out and shared your 18 stories. And they mean very much to me personally, and I 19 also echo what our newest member said, that we will not put 20 up with this. And this is very important to us and so for 21 me to have the information come to us, Diana. And it's 2.2 important to me, that as our chairman has said, that we do 23 this right and we get it right. So we're not doing it 24 right according to some folks and we need to get it right. 25 So you have the commitment from this Board to

make sure that you are treated fairly. And so just to me it's important that we get, as Ms. Schenk said, updates on a regular basis. And if possible, maybe when you're doing some of these meetings with the community let us know, and I maybe would like to attend one of these meetings just to see for myself how these go.

MS. GOMEZ: Okay.

2.2

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Just before I turn to

Tom Richards, I just want to thank Ms. Gomez. You always

seem to be prepared and on top of issues, which I very much

appreciate. I'm sure I speak for my colleagues when I say

that.

And I do think that in addition to all the other things that are going on, I do like the idea of a survey of the performance of the contractors, because that's yet another metric that we can have for the Board to get that sense of how the public is seeing us do our jobs. That in no way contradicts what Senator Correa said, that the first responsibility of people is to do their jobs. But then I do think that feedback is good.

I would just like to turn finally to our Vice
Chairman, Tom Richards, who represents the Central Valley.
And I know from many hours of conversations with him that
this is a matter that he takes very much to heart, so Tom?

VICE CHAIR RICHARDS: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I think that going back to the very beginning this was perceived as probably being one of, if not the most, difficult tasks in this long process of bringing high-speed rail to California. Early conversations clearly weren't intended to be naïve, but if we could've painted the best result to the process it would've been that everybody came through the process and felt one, that they were treated fairly and the results were fair and equitable.

2.2

That's still the goal, and it needs to always be the goal, recognizing that unfortunately we're dealing with so many of you, and will across the state, in circumstances that quite clearly are not what you opted for. And frankly nobody would have. Our purposes don't need to be crosspurposes and shouldn't be.

We also have an additional responsibility up here that you as taxpayers recognize also. There are very specific laws as to what we can and cannot do. The challenge is how do we ensure that you are taking the benefit of all of the legal rights that you have, while at the same time ensuring that the taxpayers are paying a fair and equitable price for your properties and associated costs that you have.

One of the challenges I suspect in a time like this for many of you who are very familiar with real estate

is having come through an economic period where there's been both a downturn in valuation, but at the same time less properties that are transferred. And so some of the challenges and problems that I recognize one of the gentlemen talking about is where do you find comparables? And I know you're going to address that, Diana, and I think that's important.

2.2

Fortunately or unfortunately, because of the business that I'm in we often see in at least the areas that I'm involved in, in real estate and development appraisals with comparables that come far beyond market areas quite simply because you can't find adequate ones locally. I think that is from the perspective of where we are in California and where California is going. That's improving and improving dramatically in response to an improved environment with regards to real property transfers.

For the purposes of what you're doing, Diana, I couldn't endorse and agree more with the procedures that you're putting in place and have put in place. The challenges are always when you're putting people in touch with other people who are being so dramatically affected by what we're doing. And there's nothing that could be more important than providing the level of compassion for the process and the people you're affecting to ensure that not

only are you doing your jobs, but your job is also to be responsible to the people that you're talking to, ensuring that they have the very best opportunity to have the best result to what they're doing.

2.2

For you who are selling, please remember the one thing that we've told you. The process is really driven a lot by the appraisal process and it always has to be as it is when you bought your homes. It's a very independent process. It's required to be independent even at the federal level with banking laws to ensure that the appraisal process is fair and equitable to all sides.

It doesn't mean there's not a process as you're well aware of trying to ensure that your best interest is being taken care of through the offer of your having the opportunity at our cost -- I think up to \$5,000 -- I'm not sure exactly how that works, to have your own appraisal done. But in the end the process is going to be driven by the appraisal. And it really benefits each of you, no matter how difficult it may be at the times that you're in.

And with our understanding and appropriately responding to the things that the Chairman has already directed today, but to cooperate fully with the process, to not be shy about what you think your property's worth, to be realistic about what it's worth. And then together let us try to accomplish what this chairman, and those who have

been involved with talking with you, always to do everything we can to ensure at the other end you come out whole. And recognize that that is whole in a financial sense. We can't think enough about what you're going through personally, because it would be the same with anybody who's being affected in this way.

2.2

2.3

The purpose of the project is no less valuable in the longer term. You just happen to be the ones who are in the end able to participate, even if it wasn't at your choice at the outset, in ensuring a benefit and asset for the community as years to come. Our obligation to you is to ensure that it is done appropriately and responsively.

So with that Diana, I appreciate very much what you're doing and the amount of time it takes. And I don't think that we as an Authority can spend too little an amount of money to ensure that we protect the interests of the people sitting out here and those around the state along our alignment. Thank you.

BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yes, Senator?

BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Another comment if I may?

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Sure.

BOARD MEMBER CORREA: I just wanted to say I agree with some of those comments that were just made. And those comments, I guess the way I think about these folks

```
1
    here and the process, is the more communication you have
 2
    with these folks the higher the probability is that you'll
 3
    come to an understanding that's agreeable to all.
    Protecting the taxpayers and addressing their specific
 4
 5
    concerns about their property and therefore, there's less
 6
    money spent on lawyers and more goes to their pocketbook.
 7
    Thank you.
 8
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you, Senator.
9
              Okay.
                     Thank you again, for all the citizens who
10
    took time to come here this morning. We take this issue
11
    very seriously.
12
              We'll move now to the regular agenda and what I'm
13
    going to do is make a slight change in the order of the
14
    agenda, so that we can deal with the action items first and
15
    then deal with the presentations after that.
16
              So item one, the consideration of approval of
17
    Board minutes from --
18
              BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: So moved.
              VICE CHAIR HARTNETT: Second.
19
20
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: -- the last meeting.
                                                        Okay, it
21
    moved by Ms. Schenk, seconded by Vice Chair Hartnett.
2.2
    Would the Secretary please call the roll?
2.3
              MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Schenk?
              BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Yes.
2.4
25
              MS. NEIBEL: Vice Chair Richards?
```

```
VICE CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes.
 1
 2
              MS. NEIBEL: Vice Chair Hartnett?
              VICE CHAIR HARTNETT: Yes.
 3
              MS. NEIBEL: Mr. Rossi?
 4
 5
              BOARD MEMBER ROSSI: Yes.
              MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Perez-Estolano?
 6
 7
              BOARD MEMBER PEREZ-ESTOLANO: Yes.
              MS. NEIBEL: Mr. Henning?
 8
 9
              BOARD MEMBER HENNING: Yes.
10
              MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Selby?
              BOARD MEMBER SELBY: Yes.
11
12
              MS. NEIBEL: Senator Correa?
1.3
              BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Abstain.
              MS. THOMMEN: Chairman Richard?
14
15
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yes.
16
              Thank you. Next we'll jump to item three, which
17
    is the consideration of awarding the regional consultant
18
    contract for environmental and engineering services for the
19
    Palmdale to Burbank Project Section.
20
              Ms. Boehm, good morning.
              Senator Correa, we have three regional leaders
21
2.2
    for the project. Ms. Gomez leads in the Central Valley.
    Michelle Boehm is our leader in the Southern California and
2.3
    I know that you have dealt with her in your prior capacity.
24
25
              BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Yes.
```

MS. BOEHM: Thank you very much. Item three is an action item as you mentioned. It is the Board agenda item to consider award of the regional consultant contract for the Palmdale to Burbank Section to cover both environmental and engineering services. The goal of this contract is to complete the environmental clearance and record of decision for the project as well as prepare the construction bidding documents for future contracting.

2.2

2.3

We are recommending the award to a team led by Sener Engineering and Systems. This is a Spanish firm, which is known for planning and constructing high-speed rail around the world. So they've planned it and they've constructed it and it's operating. They are joined by 19 small disadvantaged and disabled veteran businesses on their team as well as very strong engineering and environmental support.

I want to draw your attention to a couple of key activities that will be done on this contract in addition to what I mentioned. Their activities will include support and advancement of our regional Bookend projects, which are so critical to us as we move the program forward, as new Board Member Correa mentioned earlier today.

And in addition to all of this work they are also on board to support our robust public outreach program and grassroots community working group activities to make sure

```
that we are engaging and informing the public on the
 1
 2
    project as we build momentum in Southern California. Thank
 3
    you.
 4
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you.
 5
              Any questions for Ms. Boehm? Senator Correa?
              BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Mr. Chair, I just wanted to
 6
 7
    say that the contract sounds great. I just haven't had the
    time to read it and look at it in detail, so I will again
 8
9
    be abstaining on this vote.
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay, understood. Other
10
11
    questions.
12
          (No response.)
1.3
              With that I'll entertain a motion.
              BOARD MEMBER ROSSI: So moved.
14
15
              BOARD MEMBER SELBY: Second.
16
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: It has been moved by Mr.
17
    Rossi, seconded by Ms. Selby. Would the Secretary please
18
    call the roll?
19
              MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Schenk?
20
              BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Yes.
              MS. NEIBEL: Vice Chair Richards?
21
2.2
              VICE CHAIR RICHARDS: Yes.
2.3
              MS. NEIBEL: Vice Chair Hartnett?
2.4
              VICE CHAIR HARTNETT: Yes.
25
              MS. NEIBEL: Mr. Rossi?
```

```
1
              BOARD MEMBER ROSSI: Yes.
 2
              MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Perez-Estolano?
              BOARD MEMBER PEREZ-ESTOLANO: Yes.
 3
              MS. NEIBEL: Mr. Henning?
 4
 5
              BOARD MEMBER HENNING: Yes.
 6
              MS. NEIBEL: Ms. Selby?
 7
              BOARD MEMBER SELBY: Yes.
              MS. NEIBEL: Senator Correa?
 8
 9
              BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Abstain.
              MS. THOMMEN: Chairman Richard?
10
11
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yes.
12
              MS. BOEHM: You're killing me.
1.3
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD:
                                 It's an inside joke.
14
              Thank you, Ms. Boehm.
15
              MS. BOEHM:
                          Thank you.
16
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. We will turn now to
17
    item two of the agenda. A very important presentation as
18
    was noted by one of our citizen speakers this morning, on
19
    the issue of grade separations and the high-speed rail
20
    program.
              Mr. Vacca will make the presentation.
21
              Mr. Morales, is there anything you'd like to
2.2
    introduce?
2.3
              Oh, I'm sorry, Ms. Schenk?
24
              BOARD MEMBER SCHENK: Yeah, just a point of -- I
25
    just wanted to thank Mr. Allen for consistently coming to
```

our Board meeting with this issue. And I really appreciate it Mr. Allen, and hope you can hear me, that I am grateful for the work that you have put into this. And I'll tell you privately.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Bob, she was just thanking you

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Bob, she was just thanking you for bringing this issue to the Board's attention consistently. Thank you.

Mr. Vacca, good morning.

2.2

2.3

MR. VACCA: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, Frank Vacca, the Authority's Chief Program Manager.

And today we're going to talk about highway-rail at-grade crossings. And we'll define that as any highway, public or private roadway, that crosses the railroad at-grade or level. Sometimes they're called level crossings.

I think the presentation is appropriate in the fact that recent national events with several accidents occurring in February, both at Metro North in New York and the Caltrain area and Metrolink. And just recently, actually this weekend, Amtrak had a derailment caused by a major truck collision in North Carolina. And therefore it's appropriate that we discuss the Authority's program and how we're going to address at-grade crossings along our alignment.

Nationally, railroad grade crossings are a

significant issue for both the public and the rail industry. Nationally, there are more than 38,000 crossings across the country, California having almost 9,300 of those crossings. And as you can see by the number of accidents and certainly the fatalities it's a serious issue and a serious thing that we need to address and ensure the safety of both our riders and the public at large.

2.2

When we talk about grade separating or grade separation we're looking at different alternatives, but essentially we are changing the elevation between the roadway and the railroad. In the lower left you see an example of a depressed highway that separates the railroad from the cars. On the upper right you see where the railroad is depressed and the roadway is overhead.

By separating at-grade, you therefore eliminate all the hazards associated with railroad-highway at-grade crossings.

Another example in the upper right is a roadway bridge over the railway. Now, grade separation increases safety obviously when you no longer have the ability of collision. But it also has a lot of other benefits. It decreases traffic in terms of the fact that the traffic flow is continuous and you will not have to stop at gates or other areas. The idling, no longer idling at those gates, reduces greenhouse gas emissions and of course

1 improves the operations for the trains also. 2 The grade crossings in California are regulated by the California Public Utilities Commission Rail Safety 3 Branch. And they also set priorities for grade crossings 4 that have a high rate of incidents, accidents or 5 fatalities. 6 7 I'll show you an example here of what we are generally used to seeing, cars waiting for the train to go 8 9 by, idling and emitting and losing time. Ultimately, our 10 vision is that there won't be any grade crossings along our high-speed rail corridor. And this area will look like 11 12 this, totally separating and increasing safety and performance for both the roadway, the towns and the 13 railroad. 14 15 Our long-term plan for grade crossings along our 16 alignment is that there will be no at-grade or level 17 crossings along our high-speed line in the long term. 18 that is from Los Angeles to San Francisco. However --19 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MORALES: If I might, let 20 me just interrupt? 21 MR. VACCA: Yes? 2.2 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MORALES: Because the 23 issue of fencing was raised earlier. We can include with

that also the system will be fully fenced --

MR. VACCA: Our system will be fully fenced.

24

25

1 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MORALES: -- to avoid any 2 intrusion whether from cars, pedestrians, trucks. 3 MR. VACCA: Yes, absolutely. We will have a fully enclosed system, grade separated and fully fenced. 4 5 However, there's a transitional period between San Jose and Los Angeles, which is considered green field 6 7 construction, new construction where there is no operation. That fully separated, fully grade separated, fully fenced 8 9 environment will be there from day one of any train 10 operating. 11 However, on the Bookends which are more urbanized 12 areas complicated designs and has significant 13 deconstruction of the grade separations, have significant 14 impacts to the community and the railroad operations, we 15 will have a longer term, phased approach to eliminate those crossings between San Francisco and San Jose. And we'll 16 17 talk about the treatment or the enhancements, the safety 18 enhancement that we will implement during that phase and/or 19 transitional period. Yes? 20 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Excuse me, any 21 discussion between Los Angeles and Orange County --

MR. VACCA: Yes, we will talk about the Bookend between Los Angeles and Anaheim also. In fact, here's some of it.

2.2

23

2.4

25

Northern California, the transitional period will

be between San Jose and 4th and King Street, currently 44
existing grade crossings, at-grade level crossings. We
will work with the environmental process and with our MOUs
to eliminate those crossings that have the most criticality
and are on the California Public Utility Commission
Critical List to eliminate prior to our service. But the
rest will be in a phased approach over a period of time,
enhanced however, and we'll talk about that.

Central California, as we talked about in terms of green field constructions all the construction in the Central Valley will eliminate existing crossings. This is an example of 56 crossings just in CP 1 through 4, which will be eliminated as part of those construction contracts. And I will show you a vision of what we envision Downtown Fresno to look like in the next slide.

Southern California, we have an MOU with the Southern California eliminating those crossings on the CPCU list that are MOU. And a couple of examples here are State College, Dornan and Rosecrans, which will be eliminated before our initial operation.

Here's an example of what will happen in the Fresno area with grade crossings and our final product.

And I think there's supposed to be an audio part of this, but well -- the audio?

25 (No audio present)

2.2

Essentially with overhead structures -- and you'll see now a trench configuration, but overhead structures completing portions of the Fresno area -- and the trench going through some of the Downtown area, totally grade separating and ensuring the safety of our passengers and the local community.

2.2

Where we're in a transitional period or where crossings will not be totally eliminated during our first initial operations, we will be enhancing all of the crossings with what the industry calls quad gates. And what that means is that we will have gates across all lanes of a highway or roadway, not just the entrance lanes, but all lanes.

The exit gates will be delayed to ensure that the public can exit the area prior to all four gates coming down. Additionally, we will include intrusion detection which is a magnetic system under the pavement, which will detect any vehicles under the gates. That system is connected to the signal system of the trains, positive train control, where the engineer will get an indication in his cab that there is a vehicle in the right-of-way and therefore can assume emergency conditions to either apply brakes, stop or slow down prior to the crossing.

This system is state-of-the-art internationally and as you can see here, by studies done by UC Berkeley,

reduces accidents at-grade crossings by almost a 98 percent. And therefore dramatically increasing the safety where grade crossings still exist upon our operation.

1.3

2.2

I do want to say that we will be operating at speeds in conformance with full federal Railroad Administration regulations in terms of where there are, even quad gates we will be limited to the federal regulations in terms of speed. And that'll be between 110 miles an hour on the peninsula and in other areas about 125 if the full gate system exists. Outside of that area where we're fully separated we will be able to do our full 220 miles an hour.

We work cooperatively, obviously, with the California Public Utility Commission and will take into consideration their priority list and areas of concern. We work closely with our partners, regional counties, government.

We are a member of Operation Lifesaver. This is a great effort done nationally by all the railroads: AAR, Association of American Railroads, and all railroads across the country to bring public awareness to the dangers of railroad operations, to educate and to inform on trespasser grade crossings. They go to schools, they go to communities. And we will continue to work very closely with Operation Lifesaver in order to minimize and improve

the safety of our operation.

2.2

2.3

And we thought it appropriate that this general overview of what we are planning to do along our right-of-way was appropriate at this time and certainly can take questions.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Let me first thank you for that. I'd like to ask a question that I think Senator Correa is probably likely to ask, but I mentioned earlier in the deliberations over the appropriations in 2012 Senator Correa was very focused on improvements in Orange County. And those turned out to really be about grade separations in Anaheim and so forth. So can you just take a moment to review -- I think most of us are familiar with the Caltrain electrification that would involve blended operations on the peninsula. But perhaps we're not as familiar with what will be occurring from Los Angeles down to Anaheim or even north of Los Angeles if we do blended service there. So can you just take a moment and walk us through that?

MR. VACCA: Certainly, we'll talk between right now north of Los Angeles, at least from our station at Burbank to Los Angeles we do not anticipate any crossings to be left behind. We will do all of the grade crossing separations in that segment. Between Los Angeles and Anaheim --

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: And I'm sorry, Frank, but as I understand it between Burbank and Los Angeles isn't that where the number one most dangerous identified at-grade crossing is now or do I have my geography wrong?

2.2

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MORALES: Where's

Michelle, she's here? Yes, there are several in Southern

California in between Burbank and Anaheim in the two
sections. There are three or four of the top ten -
CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Most dangerous.

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MORALES: -- most dangerous that will all be addressed through this process.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Addressed means eliminated?

chief executive officer Morales: Addressed being eliminated, yes right. And that's through the cooperative efforts of us, through the Authority and with the local agencies. And that came out of the MOU that we negotiated with the Southern California entities and so we're working through that process there.

Just to clarify again, so between Los Angeles and Anaheim the current plan is to follow the existing LOSSAN corridor where there is existing traffic there. That decision was made back in 2012 as opposed to building new infrastructure. But in that process then, we have worked with Anaheim in particular, as well as other agencies to identify the high-priority grade separation projects and

we're moving forward with those now.

2.2

And there are three in that section we know that are at the top of the list, both for the PUC and for us, that we are now moving forward to develop the funding partnerships to actually get those grade separations done. They can be done also independently of us advancing the rest of the program. Those are internal improvements that can be made.

One other thing I would point out, for those grade crossings as well as the 55 that we're eliminating in the Central Valley we're eliminating we're eliminating them not just for high-speed rail, but the existing grade crossing for freight and for Amtrak service. So where those communities have all the issues of at-grade crossings and waiting for freight trains and things like that those will be eliminated as well. I just wanted to make sure that was clear.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yeah, and I interrupted you, Mr. Vacca.

MR. VACCA: Well, along those lines the most dangerous crossings are identified and part of the MOU will be eliminated. We will set a criteria in terms of priority for the others with the PUC and their listing. And absolutely all crossings that will remain when we start operation will be enhanced to the full quad gate intrusion

protection state-of-the-art protection, and with reduced speeds at those locations.

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay. Questions from my colleagues starting with Ms. Selby?

BOARD MEMBER SELBY: Yes, thank you. That was wonderful.

I've been, as I think probably many of us have been, noticing lately in the news a lot of terrible stories about grade crossings and not being grade separated. So I really appreciate that we're working on this.

I did have a couple of questions. I noticed my alma mater, UC Berkeley, you're using their studies and I think that's great, but have you looked at Japan which has a -- I believe is at zero accidents in all -- or zero fatalities in all of its years of operation? Have we looked there to make sure that whatever we're doing is state-of-the-art and the absolute best that it can be?

MR. VACCA: Yeah, we utilize world first -- the best high-speed rail systems around the world including Europe and Asia and the U.S. And obviously, at the higher speeds grade separation is an absolute including here in the U.S. and that would be a part of our systems. But it is consistent with what is done around the world when you enter and operate in an urbanized environment where sometimes the crossing eliminations are unfeasible,

disruption's too severe -- the quad gate system and what we're suggesting is state-of-the-art.

2.2

BOARD MEMBER SELBY: Thank you. And then I'm just curious for Northern California where we have a slightly -- I think a longer time period where we will not have grade separations.

I'd just like to see if there's anything that we're thinking about doing in the meantime to make it a little safer, because I do think that that is a great concern for the people who are on the peninsula. And I've been asked that myself, so I'm just wondering if you have something to say about that?

MR. VACCA: Sure, no absolutely. Of the 44 crossings we will be eliminating some of them right from the start before our operations. We'll be working with the PUC list, we'll be looking at the environmental process, which will take into consideration the traffic and the impacts there and identify those that are most critical to be eliminated.

And then we will have a program and it'll be a very aggressive program to eliminate the others. However, the reality is that the complexity, the disruptions through the towns and the operation, will probably take one or two decades to remove all of the crossings on the peninsula, but the goal will be to eliminate them. It will be part of

1 our program. 2 BOARD MEMBER SELBY: So I quess my point -- just 3 I'm trying to be clearer there is that there are people who go across deliberately. And is there anything we can do in 4 the meantime in the meantime --5 MR. VACCA: Yes. 6 7 BOARD MEMBER SELBY: -- to reduce that means of... 8 9 MR. VACCA: Our program will totally fence the 10 peninsula right away up to the gates, the gates or quad 11 gates. So when the gates are down it will be one 12 continuous barrier both from a pedestrian perspective and 13 from a road perspective. That you will have to 14 intentionally either cut a hole in that fence -- and even 15 that we will have intrusion protection, so our dispatchers will know if someone cut the fence or violated the fence --16 17 or you will have to physically crash through the barrier. 18 And if you do that the intrusion protection in the roadway 19 will signal to the train that someone is there. 20 So it truly and it's all failsafe, so that if 21 there's a mechanical failure of some kind it fail safes, 2.2 the gates drop automatically. So it's the state-of-the-art 23 in technology today. 2.4 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MORALES: Just to again 25 clarify what the quad gates do. A traditional gate just

has just one on each side of the intersection to block the incoming traffic, but what happens as you notice for whatever reasons people sometimes either get trapped or drive around the gates. With a quad gates, both lanes are blocked in each direction or all lanes are blocked or all lanes are blocked in each direction. So you cannot cross it without literally driving through it, so at that point it's a concerted effort to get in there.

2.2

2.3

And then it's coupled with the intrusion system and I believe the statistic was that those gates have an almost 100 percent reduction of fatalities, because it is almost impossible to get a car in. The gates come down in a way that they're timed, so that if a car is in the intersection they have the ability to get out before the second set of gates come down also. So it's state-of-theart and all of the grade crossings, where they continue to exist, will be upgraded to that level. So we'll see a significant enhancement in safety on the peninsula.

MR. VACCA: And where possible, and where the roadway permits, we will actually put dividers approaching the roadway, so people can't even try to go to the other side.

BOARD MEMBER SELBY: Yeah, my last question I'm at is that 98 percent, so do you have a sense of -- you know, does that mean that there will be -- I don't

understand what the opposite would be? Like what would that mean that the collisions or the fatalities or whatever that number is related to, what would it end up being?

2.2

MR. VACCA: Well, what I would say (inaudible) the statistics for accidents, both nationally and in California, my interpretation is that 98 percent of those would be eliminated.

You have to remember that across the country there's a small percentage, I believe it's 15 to 25 percent of all the crossings actually have gates of any kind or warning devices of any other kind, other than static signs. And so that number is very exaggerated in terms of the risk, because the majority of those actions happen where they don't have protection. They happen in all locations. But besides the fact that we have protection, then we have the best protection, and it virtually eliminates all of that.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Other questions? I just had two or three and then an observation.

On the peninsula again, I understand there are 44 crossings there now, and I understand you to say that there's an environmental process. So but if you had to guess right now in the near term as part of the electrification process can you guess how many we'd be looking at removing?

MR. VACCA: Without the environmental process we're looking at three or four right now that would be eliminated and then on top of that the environmental process. So we will be, you know, maybe a half a dozen right up front to eliminate. CHAIRMAN RICHARD: And --MR. VACCA: We also -- go ahead. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MORALES: Yeah, some of the cities on the peninsula are also on their own initiative looking to create grade separations and so we're working with some of them as well. So there are a number of -- there is funding provided through the PUC, there's federal money that comes in. There are different sources of funds that can help --CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Yeah, that was my next question, which is -- I mean, I remember looking at this years ago in a prior capacity. And it was about \$40 or \$50 million average for removing a grade crossing. I imagine with inflation that -- but I mean, what kind of numbers do we look at?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

2.4

25

MR. VACCA: Well, I mean in the peninsula you're looking at 50 to 100 million. You know, if you're in Fresno it's a little bit cheaper, because of the urbanized environment.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Right.

MR. VACCA: And as such, I think it'd be impractical to have a program where you're trying to do more than through a year or so, because the disruption to the traffic and the communities becomes enormous and to the operation.

1.3

2.2

2.3

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: So it's a long-term process.

MR. VACCA: It's a long term, but one that we're committed to.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay, just two more things.

One, I'm going to take advantage of the fact that you're up here to ask you this question, because I think it can clarify something -- somehow time gets confused in the public's mind.

So for the first 520 miles of Phase I we're looking at 420 miles of absolute dedicated track, and about 100 miles or about 20 percent of blended operations. You said in your presentation that FRA limits us in areas, I guess probably just in the region where the crossing is, to 110 miles an hour assuming quad gates and positive train control. I just want to hear from you that those facts all fit within the 2 hour and 40 minute design criteria of the project, consistent with Prop 1A.

MR. VACCA: Yes, absolutely. We are simulating the alignment, taking into consideration the blended services on the Bookends and the federal requirements when

you have either a blended service -- even without crossings -- is restricted and blended service with crossings. Based on what you do with those crossings you're restricted to either 110 or 125 miles an hour.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Right.

2.2

MR. VACCA: Those speeds are factored into our 2 hour 40 minutes trip time. And we are complying with Prop 1A based on the alignment, the actual alignments that we have with the RODs, and our proposed 15 percent alignments. Although they're not final until we have our RODs, so that would be --

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Right, yeah it's a common misconception that when we embrace the blended approach at the behest of some public officials, that somehow that was going to doom the 2 hours and 40 minutes. That is not correct.

MR. VACCA: That is not correct.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay, last two things. Just for Senator Correa's benefit, I ran into as I was coming out of the hotel this morning, Darrell Johnson, the head of the Orange County Transportation Authority. I asked him, "How are things going down there on the grade separations?" And he said they're going very well, so it looks like that work is proceeding.

And one final, final thing which is that when you

talked about the benefits of grade separations one that you did not include is the reduction of noise in these communities from the horns of the trains. And I'll just say that I was in Fresno one time on the alignment tour and was talking to a guy who owned a motel there. And he said, "Yeah, your trains are coming right behind my motel." And I was trying to be sympathetic and I said, "Well, I'm really sorry about that." He said, "Hey, I'm not. All my customers complain right now, because at 4:00 in the morning the trains are blasting their horns coming through there for the grade separation -- or for the grade crossing you're eliminating. That's going to be a lot quieter at night." So --MR. VACCA: Absolutely. Sometimes I'm too close to it and I forget some things, but federal law requires that the engineer blow four times: two long, a short, and a long at every crossing. He or she has no choice, in order to comply to it with the crossing requirements. So you're absolutely correct and that's at almost 100 decibel level, so I apologize for missing that. VICE CHAIR HARTNETT: Well, Mr. Chair, I think the hotel's going to have to change their name, because I think they're called the Whistle Hotel.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: All right, with that thank you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

```
Mr. Vacca.
 1
 2
              MR. VACCA:
                          Thank you.
 3
              BOARD MEMBER SELBY: Thank you, Frank.
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: And that's it.
 4
 5
              Okay. So at this point the Board will enter into
    closed session to --
 6
 7
              BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Mr. Chair, before you do
 8
    that --
9
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: I'm sorry, Senator -- oh,
10
    whoa. Oh, I screwed up totally here.
11
              BOARD MEMBER PEREZ-ESTOLANO: Yeah.
12
              CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Okay, you agree right? Okay,
    I'm sorry, first Senator Correa then Ms. Perez-Estolano.
13
14
              BOARD MEMBER CORREA: I'll let Ms. Perez go
15
    first, chivalry in Orange County.
16
              BOARD MEMBER PEREZ-ESTOLANO: All right, I'll be
17
    brief, thank you Senator.
18
              I just wanted to report out from the Land Use and
19
    Transit Subcommittee of this Board that the High Speed
20
    Rail, in partnership actually through the City of Burbank
21
    as the prime kind of sponsor with the High Speed Rail,
2.2
    submitted an application to the EcoDistrict Incubator
23
    Project which is out of Portland. And I just want to say
    thank you to our team: Melissa DuMond who's our fantastic
24
25
    Planning Director, Kate White out of the Transportation
```

Agency, Suzanne Hague Strategic Growth Council, Meg Sedera (phonetic) from our Project Management team, Michelle Bane (phonetic) from L.A. and to Jeff Morales, our CEO, for supporting something that I think is going to be very dynamic if we are selected as a candidate for this incubator project.

2.2

Essentially, what we will essentially be able to do is take the Burbank Station to Portland and have their team of experts basically study the station as a prototype for an ecodistrict framework. And what an ecodistrict basically is, is a comprehensive planning process which looks at all the component parts, so it's not just like the land and the train. It is the storm water. It is the systems. It is everything in terms of how that place operates, how can we make it more sustainable, and how can we make it more efficient?

If we get selected then we'll be able to use this as a possible candidate to look at other stations throughout the network in California. But it is what we're trying to do is we're trying to bring some of the best thinking that's happening around the country or actually around the world. And bring that to the program, so that we can bring I hope some really good opportunities for cities throughout the corridor.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Thank you. Ms. Perez-Estolano

called me about this the other day and we talked about it extensively. And it sounds like a very promising thing and she wanted to report to the whole Board, so I apologize for stubbing my toe there for a minute.

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ-ESTOLANO: Yeah, that's all right.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: But thank you for that.

BOARD MEMBER PEREZ-ESTOLANO: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Senator Correa?

BOARD MEMBER CORREA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

First of all, I'm glad to hear you ran into Mr.

Darrell Johnson and he told you what's going on with grade separations. I believe in trusting, but verifying as well.

But also given that I'm the new person here today I wanted to see if I could ask your staff to give me a progress report on what's going on, on the sections between Anaheim-Los Angeles and Bakersfield and Los Angeles and also wanted a report on compliance of all applicable laws.

And, of course, my legislative intent when I was in the Legislature voting on this subject, I wanted to make sure that we actually not only set aside, but we actually awarded working contracts to small business and disabled veteran-owned businesses. I want to make sure we're doing that, so if I could have a report on that as well I'd really appreciate it. Thank you, very much.

1	CHAIRMAN RICHARD: Very good, we'll follow up
2	with all of those.
3	Okay. At this point the Board will enter into
4	closed session to discuss the matters described in the
5	agenda. We'll report back after that time.
6	(The Board convened into Closed Session at 11:56 a.m.)
7	(Having no new items to report from Closed Session,
8	Chairperson Dan Richard adjourned the Public Meeting of
9	The High-Speed Rail Authority
10	at 1:45 p.m.)
11	000
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, KENT ODELL, an Electronic Reporter, do hereby certify that I am a disinterested person herein; that I recorded the foregoing California High-Speed Rail Authority Meeting; that it was thereafter transcribed.

I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for any of the parties to said conference, or in any way interested in the outcome of said conference.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 18th day of November, 2014.

/ 5 /

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 20th day of March, 2015.



Myra Severtson Certified Transcriber AAERT No. CET**D-852